

# Errata for “Multidimensional Kruskal–Katona theorem”

Boris Bukh

February 28, 2014

In the paper, it is asserted that the equality in Theorem 1 holds only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is of the form  $\binom{Y_1}{r} \times \dots \times \binom{Y_d}{r}$  for some sets  $Y_1, \dots, Y_d \subset X$ . The claim is valid, but the proof is not.

**The error:** A wrong claim appears in the introduction. It is claimed that “. . . equality holds only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is an initial segment of a such colexicographical order”. This is false, as shown independently by Füredi–Griggs[2] and by Mörs[5]. Those papers give a thorough treatment to the problem of uniqueness of the extremal family in the Kruskal–Katona theorem.

In particular, the claim is true for families of size  $\binom{m}{r}$ , where  $m$  is an integer. A simple proof of this special case can be found in [1, p. 30] (see also [4] and [3]).

The error in the proof of Theorem 1 lies in application of Lemma 2. The lemma does not assert that if the family  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is not monotone, then  $|\partial\mathcal{F}_0| < |\partial\mathcal{F}|$ , but it is this claim that is implicitly used in the proof of uniqueness in Theorem 1.

**A fix:** A way to prove the faulty assertion in Theorem 1 is as follows. The application of Lemma 2 to a family  $\mathcal{F}$  furnishes a monotone family  $\mathcal{F}_0$  that satisfies  $|\partial\mathcal{F}_0| \leq |\partial\mathcal{F}|$ . It is then (correctly) shown that  $|\partial\mathcal{F}_0| \geq |LL_r(M_0)|$  where  $M_0$  is the cube of the volume  $|\mathcal{F}|$ , and that the equality holds only if  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a cube. If  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a cube, then each of its fibers has size that is of the form  $\binom{x}{r}$ , for same value of  $x$ . Since  $LL_r(\binom{x}{r}) < KK_r(\binom{x}{r})$  whenever  $x$  is not an integer, we may assume that  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ . As remarked above, if  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the uniqueness of extremal family holds, and we conclude that the family to which we applied Lemma 2 of  $\mathcal{F}$  was monotone after all.

**Acknowledgement.** I am thankful to Oriol Serra for bringing this error to my attention.

## References

- [1] Béla Bollobás. *Combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986. Set systems, hypergraphs, families of vectors and combinatorial probability.
- [2] Z. Füredi and J. R. Griggs. Families of finite sets with minimum shadows. *Combinatorica*, 6(4):355–363, 1986.
- [3] Peter Keevash. Shadows and intersections: stability and new proofs. *Adv. Math.*, 218(5):1685–1703, 2008.

- [4] László Lovász. *Combinatorial problems and exercises*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, second edition, 1993.
- [5] Michael Mörs. A generalization of a theorem of Kruskal. *Graphs Combin.*, 1(2):167–183, 1985.